arXiv:0902.0557v2 [math.CA] 29 Apr 2009

# EXPLICIT LOCALIZATION ESTIMATES FOR SPLINE-TYPE SPACES

JOSÉ LUIS ROMERO

Abstract. In this article we derive some explicit decay estimates for the dual system of a basis of functions polynomially localized in space.

## 1. Introduction

A spline-type space $S$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ possessing a Riesz basis of functions well localized is space. That is, there exists a family of functions $\{f_k\}_k \subseteq S$ and constants $0 < A \leq B < +\infty$ such that

$$A\|c\|_{\ell^2}^2 \leq \|\sum_k c_k f_k\|_{L^2}^2 \leq B\|c\|_{\ell^2}^2, \tag{1}$$

holds for every $c \in \ell^2$, and the functions $\{f_k\}_k$ satisfy an spatial localization condition[1].

In a spline-type space any function in $f \in S$ has a unique expansion $f = \sum_k c_k f_k$. Moreover, the coefficients are given by $c_k = \langle f, g_k \rangle$, where $\{g_k\}_k \subseteq S$ is the *dual basis*, a set of functions characterized by the biorthogonality relation $\langle g_k, f_j \rangle = \delta_{k,j}$.

The general theory of localized frames (see [6], [5] and [1]) asserts that the functions forming the dual basis satisfy a similar spatial localization. This can be used to extend the expansion in (1) to other spaces, so that the family $\{f_k\}_k$ becomes a Banach frame for an associated family of Banach spaces (see [4] and [6]). In the case of a spline-type space $S$, this means that the decay of a function in $S$ can be characterized by the decay of its coefficients and that, in particular, the functions $\{f_k\}_k$ form a so called $p$-Riesz basis for its $L^p$-closed linear span, for the whole range $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

The purpose of this article is to derive, in some concrete case, explicit bounds for the localization of the dual basis. We will work with a set of functions satisfying a polynomial decay condition around a set of nodes forming a lattice. By a change of variables, we can assume that the lattice is $\mathbb{Z}^d$. So, we will consider a set of functions $\{f_k\}_k \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying the condition,

$$|f_k(x)| \leq C\,(1 + |x - k|)^{-s}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{2}$$

for some constant $C$. This type of spatial localization is specifically covered by the results in [6], but the constants given there are not explicit. We will derive a polynomial decay condition for the dual basis $\{g_k\}_k$, giving explicit information on the resulting constants. This yields some qualitative information, like the dependence of theses constants on $A, C$ and $s$ and the corresponding $p$-Riesz basis bounds for the original basis. In particular, it implies that if a family of Riesz basic sequences

[1] In particular, the series in the equation is required to converge unconditionally.

is given, where all the functions satisfy the concentration condition in equation (2) uniformly, and have a uniform lower basis bound, then the corresponding dual systems are also uniformly concentrated in space.

Since the localization condition in equation (2) is stable under small perturbations, the explicit estimates in Theorem (1) can be used to derive various kinds of stability conclusions. In particular, it could be used to derive jitter-error estimates for sampling in general spline-type spaces.

The results in [6] prescribe polynomial decay estimates for the dual basis similar to those possessed by the original basis. As a trade-off for the explicit constants we will not obtain the full preservation of these decay conditions (see Remark 4). Nevertheless, any degree of polynomial decay on the dual system can be granted, provided that the original basis has sufficiently good decay.

Finally observe that, although the basis $\{f_k\}_k$ is assumed to be concentrated around a lattice of nodes, the functions $f_k$ are not assumed to be shifts of a single function. In particular, Theorem 1 below allows for a basis of functions whose 'optimal' concentration nodes do not form a lattice but are comparable to one - for example, it is possible to consider a system of functions constructed as integer shifts of a single one and then translate arbitrarily finitely many of them. The 'eccentricity' of the configuration of concentration nodes is, however, penalized by the constants modelling the decay.

## 2. Assumptions and statements

**Theorem 1.** *Let $C \geq 1$, and let $t > d$ be integers. Let $s > d + t$ be a real number. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, let $f_k : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function such that*

$$|f_k(x)| \leq C \left(1 + |x - k|\right)^{-s}, \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Suppose that $\{f_k\}_k$ is a Riesz basis for $S$, its closed linear span within $L^2$, with bounds $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ (that is, equation (1) holds.) Then, the dual functions satisfy,*

$$|g_k(x)| \leq D \left(1 + |x - k|\right)^{-t}, \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

*where $D$ is given by,*

$$D = \frac{E^{t^2} C^{2t+1}}{A^{t+1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{s - t - d}\right)^t,$$

*for some constant $E > 0$ that only depends on the dimension $d$.*

**Remark 1.** *The constant $E$ can be explicitly determined from the proof.*

Of course, by a change of variables, Theorem 1 can be extended to a general lattice of the form $P\mathbb{Z}^d$, where $P$ in an invertible matrix.

Since in the statement of the theorem we are allowing a constant that may depend on $d$, we can use any norm $|\cdot|$. For convenience, we will use the max-norm $|x| = \max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_d|\}$. For the rest of the note, the functions $f_k, g_k$ and the constants $A, B, C, s, t$ will be fixed.

## 3. Definitions and notation

For a function $f$, the norm $\|f\|$ (with no subscript), will denote its $L^2$ norm. Given a matrix $L \equiv (l_{k,j})_{k,j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ and $1 \leq h \leq d$, let

$$D_h(L)_{k,j} := (k_h - j_h) l_{k,j}.$$

We denote by $\|L\|$ the norm of $L$ as an operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

For two functions $f$ and $g$, we write $f \lesssim g$ if the exists a constant $c > 0$ depending only on the dimension $d$ such that $f \leq cg$. Furthermore, for functions $f, g$ depending implicitly on $u > 0$ we say what $f \lesssim_u g$ if there is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on the dimension $d$ such that $f \leq c^u g$.

Let us define the matrix $M \equiv (m_{k,j})_{k,j\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ by

$$m_{k,j} := \langle f_k, f_j \rangle .$$

Since $\{f_k\}_k$ is a Riesz sequence, $M$ is invertible. Moreover, $\|M^{-1}\| \leq A^{-1}$ and $M^{-1}_{k,j} = \langle g_k, g_j \rangle$.

We also note the following estimates. The proof uses some techniques taken from [3].

**Lemma 1.**

**(a)** $$W_u := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + |k|)^{-u} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{u-d}\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, u > d.$$

**(b)** $$\sum_j (1 + |k - j|)^{-u}(1 + |j|)^{-u} \lesssim (1 + |k|)^{-u}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, u \geq d + 1.$$

**(c)** $$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x - y|)^{-u}(1 + |y|)^{-u} dy \lesssim (1 + |x|)^{-u}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \geq d + 1.$$

**Remark 2.** *For items (b) and (c), the fact that $u \geq d+1$ is used to grant that the constant does not depend on $u$.*

*Proof.* First observe that for $u > d$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|)^{-u} dx \lesssim \frac{1}{u-d}$.

To prove (a) we simply estimate,

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + |k|)^{-u} \leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{[0,1]^d+k} (1 + |k|)^{-u} dx.$$

For $x \in [0, 1]^d + k$, $1 + |x| \leq 2 + |k| \leq 2(1 + |k|)$, so $(1 + |k|)^{-u} \leq 2^u(1 + |x|)^{-u}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + |k|)^{-u} &\leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} 2^u \int_{[0,1]^d+k} (1 + |x|)^{-u} dx \\ &\leq 2^u \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|)^{-u} dx \lesssim 2^u \frac{1}{u-d}.\end{aligned}$$

So, for $u \leq d + 1$,

$$\sum_k (1 + |k|)^{-u} \lesssim \frac{1}{u-d} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{u-d}\right).$$

For $u > d + 1$,

$$\sum_k (1 + |k|)^{-u} \leq \sum_k (1 + |k|)^{-(d+1)} \approx 1 \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{u-d}\right).$$

For (b), we split the sum,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_j &(1+|k-j|)^{-(d+1)}(1+|j|)^{-(d+1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{|j|>|k|/2} (1+|k-j|)^{-(d+1)}(1+|j|)^{-(d+1)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j|\leq|k|/2} (1+|k-j|)^{-(d+1)}(1+|j|)^{-(d+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

For the first sum, if $|j| > |k|/2$, then $1+|j| \geq 1+|k|/2 \geq 1/2(1+|k|)$, and $(1+|j|)^{-(d+1)} \leq 2^{(d+1)}(1+|k|)^{-(d+1)}$. For the second sum, if $|j| \leq |k|/2$, then $|k-j| > |k|/2$, and as before, $(1+|k-j|)^{-(d+1)} \leq 2^{(d+1)}(1+|k|)^{-(d+1)}$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_j (1+|k-j|)^{-(d+1)}(1+|j|)^{-(d+1)} \\ &\quad \leq 2^{(d+1)}(1+|k|)^{-(d+1)} \left( \sum_{|j|>|k|/2} (1+|k-j|)^{-(d+1)} + \sum_{|j|\leq|k|/2} (1+|j|)^{-(d+1)} \right) \\ &\quad \lesssim (1+|k|)^{-(d+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

We now observe that, for any $\alpha \geq 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$(1+|k|)^{\alpha} \leq (1+|k-j|)^{\alpha}(1+|j|)^{\alpha}.$$

Consequently, with $\alpha = u-d-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_j (1+|k-j|)^{-u}(1+|j|)^{-u}\,(1+|k|)^{\alpha} &\leq \sum_j (1+|k-j|)^{-(d+1)}(1+|j|)^{-(d+1)} \\ &\lesssim (1+|k|)^{-(d+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_j (1+|k-j|)^{-u}(1+|j|)^{-u} \lesssim (1+|k|)^{-u}.$$

This is the desired estimate. Assertion (c) follows similarly. □

## 4. Proofs

We will first prove some lemmas and claims.

**Lemma 2.** *Let $\{c_k\}_k \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a sequence such that*

$$|c_k| \leq \alpha(1+|k-j|)^{-t}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

*holds for some constant $\alpha > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then the series defining the function,*

$$f = \sum_k c_k f_k$$

*is pointwise convergent and $f$ satisfies*

$$|f(x)| \lesssim_t \alpha C(1+|x-j|)^{-t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

*Proof.* Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ be such that $x \in [0,1]^d + k_0$. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\frac{1}{2}(1+|x-k|) \leq 1 + |k_0 - k| \leq 2(1+|x-k|)$. Therefore,

$$2^{-t}(1+|x-k|)^{-t} \leq (1+|k_0-k|)^{-t} \leq 2^t(1+|x-k|)^{-t}.$$

Now we estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_k |c_k|\,|f_k(x)| &\leq \alpha C \sum_k (1+|k-j|)^{-t}\,(1+|x-k|)^{-s} \\
&\leq \alpha C \sum_k (1+|k-j|)^{-t}\,(1+|x-k|)^{-t} \\
&\leq \alpha C 2^t \sum_k (1+|k-j|)^{-t}\,(1+|k_0-k|)^{-t} \\
&\lesssim_t \alpha C (1+|k_0-j|)^{-t} \lesssim_t \alpha C (1+|x-j|)^{-t},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $s > t$ and that $t - d \geq 1$.

This proves that the series defining $f$ is absolutely convergent at $x$ and that $f$ satisfies the required inequality. □

**Claim 1.** *Let $0 \leq u \leq t$ be an integer and $1 \leq h \leq d$. Then,*

$$\|D_h^u(M)\| \lesssim C^2 W_{s-t}.$$

*Proof.* For $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, first observe that, since $s - d \geq t \geq 1$

$$|\langle f_k, f_j\rangle| \leq C^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|x-k|)^{-s}(1+|x-j|)^{-s}dx \lesssim C^2(1+|k-j|)^{-s}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
|D_h^u(M)_{k,j}| &\leq (1+|k-j|)^u\,|\langle f_k, f_j\rangle| \\
&\lesssim C^2(1+|k-j|)^t(1+|k-j|)^{-s} = C^2(1+|k-j|)^{-(s-t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sup_k \sum_j |D_h^u(M)_{k,j}|\,, \quad \sup_j \sum_k |D_h^u(M)_{k,j}| \lesssim C^2 W_{s-t}.$$

Consequently, by Schur's Lemma

$$\|D_h^u(M)\| \lesssim C^2 W_{s-t}.$$

□

**Claim 2.** *Let $0 \leq u \leq t$ be an integer and $1 \leq h \leq d$. Then, $D_h^u(M^{-1})$ is a bounded operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.*

*Proof.* In Claim 1 we have shown that the matrix $M$ satisfies the off-diagonal decay condition,

$$|M_{k,j}| \leq K(1+|k-j|)^{-s},$$

for some constant $K > 0$ that depends on $d$ and $C$.

Jaffard's Theorem ([8], see also [6]) implies that $M^{-1}$ satisfies a similar off-diagonal decay condition,

$$\left|M^{-1}_{k,j}\right| \leq K'(1+|k-j|)^{-s},$$

for some constant $K' > 0$. A calculation similar to that in Claim 1, shows that for $0 \leq u \leq t$, $D_h^u(M^{-1})$ is a bounded operator (and gives a norm estimate depending on the unknown constant $K'$). $\square$

**Claim 3.** *Let $1 \leq h \leq d$. Then,*

$$\|D_h^t(M^{-1})\| \lesssim_{t^2} A^{-(t+1)} C^{2t} W_{s-t}^t.$$

*Proof.* Let $u$, $1 \leq u \leq t$ be an integer. Consider the map $L \mapsto D_h(L)$, defined for those matrices $L$ such that $D_h(L)$ is a bounded operator. We know from Claims 1 and 2 that we can apply $D_h$ to both $M$ and $M^{-1}$, up to $t$ times. The map $D_h$ is a derivation from its domain into $B(\ell^2)$. This means that it satisfies the equation $D_h(AB) = D_h(A)B + AD_h(B)$, provided that $D_h(A)$ and $D_h(B)$ are both well defined. Iterating this equation yields,

$$0 = D_h^u(M^{-1}M) = \sum_{l=0}^{u} \binom{u}{l} D_h^l(M^{-1}) D_h^{u-l}(M). \tag{3}$$

Since all the operators involved in the last formula are bounded, we can associate factors to obtain,

$$D_h^u(M^{-1}) = -\sum_{l=0}^{u-1} \binom{u}{l} D_h^l(M^{-1}) D_h^{u-l}(M) M^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

It follows that

$$\|D_h^u(M^{-1})\| \lesssim A^{-1} C^2 W_{s-t} \sum_{l=0}^{u-1} \binom{u}{l} \|D_h^l(M^{-1})\|.$$

Consider the numbers $v_u := \max_{0 \leq u' \leq u} \|D_h^{u'}(M^{-1})\|$. Using Claim 1, we observe that $A \leq \inf\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(M)\} \leq \|M\| \lesssim C^2 W_{s-t}$, where $\sigma(M)$ denotes the spectrum of the Gramian matrix $M$ as an operator on $\ell^2$.

Consequently $1 \lesssim A^{-1} C^2 W_{s-t}$ and the numbers $v_u$ satisfy,

$$v_u \lesssim A^{-1} C^2 W_{s-t} \sum_{l=0}^{u-1} \binom{u}{l} v_{u-1} \leq A^{-1} C^2 W_{s-t} 2^u v_{u-1},$$
$$v_0 \leq A^{-1}.$$

Iterating the last relation yields,

$$v_t \lesssim_t A^{-(t+1)} C^{2t} W_{s-t}^t 2^{\frac{t(t+1)}{2}} \approx_{t^2} A^{-(t+1)} C^{2t} W_{s-t}^t$$

$\square$

Now we can prove the main result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* For $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, let $c_{kj} := \langle g_k, g_j \rangle$. Since $M_{kj}^{-1} = c_{kj}$, using Claim 3 we get,

$$|k_h - j_h|^t \, |c_{k,j}| \leq \|D_h^t(M^{-1}) e_j\|_2 \lesssim_{t^2} A^{-(t+1)} C^{2t} W_{s-t}^t.$$

Recalling that we are using the max-norm, we obtain

$$|k - j|^t \, |c_{k,j}| \lesssim_{t^2} A^{-(t+1)} C^{2t} W_{s-t}^t.$$

For $k \neq j$, $|k - j| \geq 1$, so $(1 + |k - j|)^t \lesssim_t |k - j|^t$. Consequently,

$$(1 + |k - j|)^t \, |c_{k,j}| \lesssim_{t^2} A^{-(t+1)} C^{2t} W_{s-t}^t.$$

holds for $k \neq j$. For $k = j$ we use that $|c_{kk}| = \|g_k\|^2 \leq A^{-1}$. Indeed, since $\{f_k\}_k$ is a Riesz sequence with lower bound $A$, it is also a frame sequence with the same lower bound. That is, the inequality, $\sum_k |\langle f, f_k\rangle|^2 \geq A\|f\|^2$ holds for all $f \in S$. Setting $f = g_j$, it follows that $\|g_j\|^2 \leq A^{-1}$.

Since $W_s, C \geq 1$ and we have that

$$(1 + |k - j|)^t \, |c_{k,j}| \lesssim_{t^2} (A^{-(t+1)} + A^{-1})C^{2t} W_{s-t}^t.$$

for all $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Since for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $g_k = \sum_j c_{k,j} f_j$, Lemma 2 implies that

$$|g_k(x)| \lesssim_{t^2} (A^{-(t+1)} + A^{-1})C^{2t+1} W_{s-t}^t (1 + |x - k|)^{-t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By Lemma 1, $W_{s-t} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{(s-t-d)}\right)$. Therefore $W_{s-t}^t \lesssim_t \left(1 + \frac{1}{(s-t-d)}\right)^t$. □

We conclude that,

(5)

$$|g_k(x)| \lesssim_{t^2} (A^{-(t+1)} + A^{-1})C^{2t+1} \left(1 + (s - t - d)^{-1}\right)^t (1 + |x - k|)^{-t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This is almost the desired estimate; there is an extra $A^{-1}$ term. We get rid of it using the following argument.

Let $\alpha$ be a positive real number. Consider the functions $\widetilde{f_k} := \alpha f_k$. They form a Riesz sequence with lower and upper bounds $\alpha^2 A$ and $\alpha^2 B$ respectively. Moreover, the functions forming the dual basis are given by $\widetilde{g_k} := \alpha^{-1} g_k$. The family $\left\{\widetilde{f_k}\right\}_k$ satisfies a concentration condition similar to the one possessed by the original functions, but with a constant $\alpha C$. We apply the estimate in equation (5) to this new family and learn that, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|\widetilde{g_k}(x)| \lesssim_{t^2} \left(\alpha^{(-2t-2)} A^{-(t+1)} + \alpha^{-2} A^{-1}\right) \alpha^{(2t+1)} C^{2t+1} \left(1 + (s - t - d)^{-1}\right)^t (1 + |x - k|)^{-t}.$$

Therefore, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|g_k(x)| \lesssim_{t^2} (A^{-(t+1)} + \alpha^{2t} A^{-1})C^{2t+1} \left(1 + (s - t - d)^{-1}\right)^t (1 + |x - k|)^{-t}.$$

Letting $\alpha \longrightarrow 0^+$, we get the desired estimate.

## 5. Final remarks

**Remark 3.** *The most delicate part of the proof was the justification of the formal computations in Claim 3, that allowed us to solve $\|D_h^u(M^{-1})\|$ recursively from the binomial formula. In order to associate factors, we needed to know that $M^{-1}$ belongs to the domain of $D_h^u$.*

*To see why this is important, let us consider the case where the original basis is formed by the integer shifts of a single function. In this case, the Gramian matrix $M$ is a convolution operator, having some sequence $a$ as kernel. The matrix $M^{-1}$ is also a convolution operator and has a kernel $b$ that satisfies,*

$$a * b = \delta. \tag{6}$$

*The decay of $a$ and $b$ can be reformulated in terms of smoothness estimates for their Fourier transforms $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$. The argument in the proof of Theorem 1 amounts, in this case, to transferring smoothness estimates from $\hat{a}$ to its pointwise inverse $\hat{b}$ by an iterated application of the Leibniz product rule (cf. Equation* (3)*.)*

*The obstacle to derive Equation 4 formally from Equation 3 is that the latter equation does not determine, by itself, the derivatives of $M^{-1}$. For example, when $a$ is a finitely supported sequence, Equation* (6) *is a recurrence equation in $b$, that has many solutions even if $\hat{a}$ has no zeros. The sequence $b$ that we are looking for (that is, the kernel of $M^{-1}$) can be singled out as the only solution of Equation* (6) *that belongs to $\ell^2$.*

*In the case of a basis formed by the integer shifts of a single function, the justification we need follows from some careful regularization argument for Sobolev spaces. In our case, this justification was done in Claim 2, by resorting to Jaffard's result* [8]*. Another possible approach would be to use the general theory of unbounded derivations, in particular the results in* [2] *and* [9]*. However, this would require adapting those results to non-densely defined derivations.*

*The use of derivations is somehow implicit in Jaffard's original proof* [8]*. A recent article from Gröchenig and Klotz* [7] *exploits in great depth the use of derivations to study off-diagonal decay of infinite matrices and approximation by classes of banded matrices.*

**Remark 4.** *As observed in the introduction, in Theorem 1, the decay condition on the original basis $\{f_k\}_k$ is not shown to be fully shared by the dual basis $\{g_k\}_k$ (although the results in* [6] *show that the full decay condition is actually preserved.) This is due to the kind of objects used to bound the decay of the entries of $M$ and $M^{-1}$. According to Remark 3 above, in the case of a basis formed by the integer translates of a single function, the estimates given amount to smoothness estimates for the symbol $\tau$ of some convolution operator. In Claims 1 and 3 we bounded the size of the entries of a matrix by means of its $\ell^2 \to \ell^2$ operator norm and controlled that norm by interpolating its $\ell^1 \to \ell^1$ and $\ell^\infty \to \ell^\infty$ norms (by Schur's lemma.) This would correspond in the case of a convolution operator to bounding the $L^\infty$ norm of its symbol $\tau$, from above by its $L^1$ norm and from below by its $L^2$ norm. This accounts, in that case, for the loss of some precision in the estimates.*

**Remark 5.** *Finally, it should be noticed that the techniques used in this article could be applied to a general* polynomially self-localized basis *in the abstract sense of* [5]*, where a basis is called self-localized if its Gramian matrix presents certain off-diagonal decay.*

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks Hans Feichtinger and Ursula Molter for some insightful discussions and Karlheinz Gröchenig for his comments and for sharing an early draft of [7].

Also, the author holds a fellowship from the CONICET and thanks this institution for its support. His research is also partially supported by grants: PICT06-00177, CONICET PIP 112-200801-00398 and UBACyT X149.

This note was partially written during a long-term visit to NuHAG in which the author was supported by the EUCETIFA Marie Curie Excellence Grant (FP6-517154, 2005-2009).

## References

[1] Radu M. Balan, Peter G. Casazza, Christopher Heil, and Z. Landau. Density, overcompleteness, and localization of frames I: Theory. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 12(2):105–143, 2006.

[2] Ola Bratteli and Derek W. Robinson. Unbounded derivations of c*-algebras. *Commun. math. Phys*, 42:253–268, 1975.
[3] Hans G. Feichtinger. Gewichtsfunktionen auf lokalkompakten Gruppen. *Sitzungsber.d.österr. Akad.Wiss.*, 188:451–471, 1979.
[4] Hans G. Feichtinger and Karlheinz Gröchenig. Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 86:307–340, 1989. reprinted in 'Fundamental Papers in Wavelet Theory' Heil, Christopher and Walnut, David F.(2006).
[5] Massimo Fornasier and Karlheinz Gröchenig. Intrinsic localization of frames. *Constr. Approx.*, 22(3):395–415, 2005.
[6] Karlheinz Gröchenig. Localization of Frames, Banach Frames, and the Invertibility of the Frame Operator. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 10(2):105–132, 2004.
[7] Karlheinz Gröchenig and Andreas Klotz. Noncommutative approximation: Inverse-closed subalgebras and off-diagonal decay of matrices. *Preprint*, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.0386, 2009.
[8] Stephane Jaffard. Propriétés des matrices "bien localisées" près de leur diagonale et quelques applications. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 7(5):461–476, 1990.
[9] Edward Kissin and Victor Shulman. Dense q-subalgebras of banach and c*-algebras and unbounded derivations of banach and c*-algebras. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc*, 36:261–276, 1993.

Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I, 1428 Capital Federal, ARGENTINA, and CONICET, Argentina

*E-mail address*, José Luis Romero: `jlromero@dm.uba.ar`